



# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE  
United States Patent and Trademark Office  
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS  
P.O. Box 1450  
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450  
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/766,178	01/27/2004	Munroe Chimomas	01PA20AUS01	3588
25893	7590	04/21/2005	EXAMINER	
LAWRENCE C. EDELMAN, IP COUNSEL FASTCORP 60 E. HANOVER AVE, B-2 MORRIS PLAINS, NJ 07950			CRAWFORD, GENE O	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER

3651

DATE MAILED: 04/21/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

<b>Response to Rule 312 Communication</b>	<b>Application No.</b>	<b>Applicant(s)</b>	
	10/766,178	CHIRNOMAS, MUNROE	
	<b>Examiner</b>	<b>Art Unit</b>	
	Gene O. Crawford	3651	

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

1. ☒ The amendment filed on 25 October 2004 under 37 CFR 1.312 has been considered, and has been:

a) ☐ entered.

b) ☐ entered as directed to matters of form not affecting the scope of the invention.


c) ☐ disapproved because the amendment was filed after the payment of the issue fee.

Any amendment filed after the date the issue fee is paid must be accompanied by a petition under 37 CFR 1.313(c)(1) and the required fee to withdraw the application from issue.

d) ☒ disapproved. See explanation below.

e) ☐ entered in part. See explanation below.

*The amendment is not entered because it is directed to matters affecting the scope of the invention that was claimed and thus deemed patentable. As stated in the examiner's reasons for allowance "the second computer being preprogrammed to at least partially disable the first computer" is not taught or fairly suggested by the prior art. The aforementioned recitation is vastly different from the first computer being preprogrammed to disable itself. While the specification is enabling with respect to the preprogramming of the first computer to disable itself applicant did not claim as much. Claims 22-30 would require a search for elements that were not under consideration during the initial search of claims 1-21. With regard to claim 19, the examiner interprets the claim to read the second computer being capable of providing an enable code to override the disabling by the second computer. Applicant is reminded that no amendment may be made as a matter of right after the mailing of the notice of allowance. However, applicant is free to file a divisional or a continuation application to clear up the matters for which he is concerned.*

  
 Gene O. Crawford  
 Primary Examiner  
 Art Unit: 3651